

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,944		05/25/2001	John Slegers	440436	1080
23548	7590	07/18/2006	EXAMINER		INER
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD				FORTUNA, ANA M	
700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1723	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/787,944	SLEGERS, JOHN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ana M. Fortuna	1723			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •		(2) 22 - 112-1 (22) - 112			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	pril 2006.				
· —	•	action is non-final.				
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>2,3,5-9,11-21 and 38-45</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.				
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) 2,3,5-9,11-18, 19-21 and 38-45 is/are	e objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea		ed in this National Stage			
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	bed.			
Ì	see the attached detailed emoc detail for a not	or the continue copies for receive				
Attacianen	ot(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	·			
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/787,944

Art Unit: 1723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 2-3, 5-9, 11-18, 20-21, 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the connecting conduits feeding the filter and removing residue and filtered material from the filter and recirculating back to the system, the feed by-pass (94, 98), directing the feed to the first filter fluid path or second filter fluid path, pumps, control valves connected to the system.
- 2. Claims 2-3, 5-9, 11-18, 20-21, 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 38, the term "the second filtration means comprising part of the first filtration means" is not understood. Claim 38 is incomplete as to the elements of the system defining the flow paths.

 Claim 2 is unclear as to whether tangential flow filters are intended.

 In claim 3, the term "common (filter) to both flow path is not understood as to what is intended, since individuals filters are show in the system (seed figures).

 Claim 5, depending on claim 3, do not clearly define the structure of the filter or combination of filters defining the flow paths.

Claim 6 does not add further additional limitation to the structure of claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,944

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 7 is indefinite as to whether the manifold (s) are inlet manifold(s) or outlet manifold(s).

In claim 38, is unclear as to whether the "manifold connected to each filter" is a feed manifold or permeate or retentate manifold, the systems includes more than one manifold (see figure). The claim is incomplete, it lacks, the fluid paths defined as a retentate recirculation path.

Claim 40 is incomplete as lacking the manifold as "feed manifold (connected to a retentate recirculation conduit (connected to a different sections of the feed manifold). Claim 41 is incomplete and fails to define the second flow path in terms of structure, e.g second "feed" manifold section connected to a retentate recirculation line and to the inlet of a second pump" directing the fluid to a second flowpath (constitute by a second filter or set of filters). The valves location, e.g between the first a second pump, and in the bypass conduit (98), bypassing the first pump (92), is also lacking. In claim 42, the second flow path is defined as "not having a portion of the first continuous flow path"; the claim is unclear regarding to what portion (e.g. section or conduits) are not involved in the second flow path. The later claim fails to provide the system structure defining the "two feed inlets and by pass for the pump defining the inlet manifold, and the therefore the feed fluid paths.

Claim 44 is incomplete as it fails to provide valve location in the system with respect to the "feed" manifold.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,944 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 11, 14, 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office Action.

- 4. Response to Arguments.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 4/5/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Rejections based on 102 and 103 has been withdrawn, the 112 rejections, however, have been modified based on the claims as amended and/or canceled. Applicant argues that the volume in the second "flow path" is lower the an in the second "flow path", all the claims are directed to "a filtration system", therefore, the system(s) need to be claimed based on "structure", e.g elements defining the system, including a clear definition of the structures defining each fluid path (or fluid circuit), and what made the volumes of each circuit to be different (e.g. number of filter included in the circuit of path). The structure of the "feed inlet manifold", including a feed arrangement for a first path, and a second feed arrangement for a second flow path (or recirculation circuit) is not clear in the claims. The feed inlet manifold needs to include all the in each path, e.g. the two feed conduits, tow pumps, valve and bypass, and retentate recirculation conduit connected to the "second flow path, are structural limitations no present in the claims and required to the fine the paths (circuits).

Application/Control Number: 09/787,944

Art Unit: 1723

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana M Fortuna whose telephone number is (571) 272-1141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/787,944

Art Unit: 1723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana M Fortuna
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

AF June 21, 2006